

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Case No.: 3:18-cv-00242-MMD-WGC

Order

Re: ECF No. 65

BRYAN BONHAM,

Plaintiff

v.

R. BAKER, et. al.,

Defendants

Before the court is Plaintiff's motion requesting rehearing for a motion to request an evidentiary hearing. (ECF No. 65.)

In this motion, Plaintiff states that he has newly discovered argument on the legality and unconstitutional passage of Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) 209.131, 209.356, 209.361, 209.246, and asserts that he has provided evidence to question the legality of the statutes and the authority of the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDOC) to enforce administrative regulations, and the State's authority to enforce the statutes.

NRS 209.131 states the duties of the director of the NDOC. NRS 209.356 states that the director shall establish and administer a program of discipline for inmates. NRS 209.361 provides that the director shall adopt regulations to maintain proper custody of offenders and prevent escapes and maintain good order and discipline. NRS 209.246 requires the director to establish criteria for deduction of money credited to an inmate account under certain circumstances.

Putting aside for the moment the questionable merit of Plaintiffs' argument, and the lack of specific relevance to Plaintiff's sole due process claim proceeding in this case, the Clerk shall

1 **STRIKE** Plaintiff's motion as it exceeds the page limits for motions which is 24 pages, and
2 Plaintiff's motion, exclusive of exhibits, is 34 pages. LR 7-3(b).

3 Plaintiff's motion also briefly appears to argue that certain discovery was not produced.
4 The discovery deadline, and therefore, the motion to file discovery motions has passed.
5 (Discovery deadline of March 2, 2020 in Nov. 19, 2019 scheduling order at ECF No. 13, and
6 order continuing discovery deadline until May 18, 2020 at ECF No. 24.) Moreover, even if the
7 court were to consider a request for leave to file a belated discovery motion, Plaintiff has been
8 advised before of the prerequisites to filing a motion to compel, and this motion does not comply
9 with those requirements. To the extent, this motion can be construed as requesting an order
10 compelling the production of documents, that request is denied.

11 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

12 Dated: October 26, 2020

13 

14 William G. Cobb
15 United States Magistrate Judge
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23